arXiv:1210.4215v1 [math.NT] 15 Oct 2012

Quantitative uniform distribution results for geometric progressions

Christoph Aistleitner*

Abstract

By a classical theorem of Koksma the sequence of fractional parts $(\{x^n\})_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly distributed for almost all values of x. In the present paper we obtain an exact quantitative version of Koksma's theorem, by calculating the precise asymptotic order of the discrepancy of $(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})_{n \geq 1}$ for typical values of x (in the sense of Lebesgue measure). Here $\xi > 0$ is an arbitrary constant, and $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ can be any sequence of distinct positive integers.

1 Introduction and statement of results

A sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of real numbers from the unit interval is called *uniformly distributed modulo 1* (u.d. mod 1) if for any $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{[a,b)}(x_n) \to b - a \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty. \tag{1}$$

In other words, a sequence is u.d. mod 1 if the relative number of elements of the sequence contained in an interval $[a,b) \subset [0,1)$ always converges to the length (or Lebesgue measure) of this interval. Here the length of such an interval can be interpreted as the expected value for the relative number of elements of a random sequence contained in it, and with regard to the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem a uniformly distributed sequences can be considered as a sequence showing random behavior. There exist many sequences which are u.d. mod 1, for example the sequence $(\{nx\})_{n \geq 1}$ whenever $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ (here, and in the sequel, $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part).

The speed of convergence in (1) is measured by the *discrepancy* and the *star-discrepancy* of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$. For a finite sequence $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ the (extremal) discrepancy D_N and the star-discrepancy D_N^* are defined as

$$D_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sup_{0 \leq a < b \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{[a,b)}(x_n) - (b - a) \right|$$

---

[*] Graz University of Technology, Institute of Mathematics A, Steyrergasse 30, 8010 Graz, Austria. e-mail: `aistleitner@math.tugraz.at`. Research supported by the Austrian Research Foundation (FWF), Project P24302.

MSC 2010: 11K38, 60F15, 11J71, 11J83, 42A61, 60F05

keywords: geometric progression, discrepancy, law of the iterated logarithm, Koksma's theorem, central limit theorem

and

$$D_N^*(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \sup_{0<a\leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{[0,a)}(x_n) - a \right|.$$

For simplicity, we will write $D_N(x_n)$ and $D_N^*(x_n)$ for the discrepancy resp. star-discrepancy of the first N elements of a (finite or infinite) sequence. For an introduction to the theory of uniform distribution modulo 1 and discrepancy theory we refer to the monographs [23, 41].

By a remarkable result of Weyl [59] for any sequence of distinct integers $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ the sequence $(\{s_n x\})_{n\geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1 for almost all x (in the sense of Lebesgue measure). This is equivalent to the fact that

$$D_N(\{s_n x\}) \to 0 \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty \qquad \text{for almost all } x.$$

Precise results are only known in a few special cases. For example, when $s_n = n,\ n \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{N D_N(\{nx\})}{\log N \log\log N} \to \frac{2}{\pi^2} \qquad \text{in measure} \tag{2}$$

due to Kesten [38] (see also [51]). Exact results of this type are possible since there is an intimate connection between the discrepancy of $(\{nx\})_{n\geq 1}$ and the continued fraction expansion of x. The second class of sequences for which precise metric results are known are sequences satisfying the Hadamard gap condition

$$\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} \geq q > 1, \qquad n \geq 1.$$

In this case Philipp [49] proved the bounded law of the iterated logarithm (LIL)

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(\{s_n x\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} \leq C_q \qquad \text{a.e.},$$

where C_q depends only on the growth factor q (the lower bound follows from an older result of Erdős and Gál [26] and Koksma's inequality). For sequences of the special form $s_n = \beta^n,\ n \geq 1$, for some $\beta > 1$, Fukuyama [30] recently proved the precise LIL

$$\frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(\{\beta^n x\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \sigma_\beta \qquad \text{a.e.},$$

where σ_β is a constant depending on the number-theoretic properties of β in a very complicated and interesting way. In particular

$$\frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(\{2^n x\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \frac{2\sqrt{21}}{9} \qquad \text{a.e.},$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(\{\beta^n x\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \text{a.e.}$$

if β is a number for which $\beta^r \notin \mathbb{Q},\ r \geq 1$. These results should be compared to the Chung–Smirnov law of the iterated logarithm for independent, identically $[0,1]$-uniformly distributed random variables $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$, which states that

$$\frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(X_n)}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

In this specific form the law of the iterated logarithm for the discrepancy (in other words: for the Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic) of $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is due to Chung [22] and Cassels [21]; for a general formulation, see e.g. [52, p. 504]. Recall that a number x is a normal number in base β if and only if $D_N(\{\beta^n x\}) \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. This means that Fukuyama's result is a precise quantitative version of Borel's theorem that almost all numbers are normal [18].

To the best of my knowledge the two mentioned classes of sequences (arithmetic progressions and lacunary sequences) are essentially the only two classes of parametric sequences for which the typical (in the sense of Lebesgue measure) asymptotic order of the discrepancy is precisely known. For general sequences $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of distinct integers we only have the upper bounds

$$D_N(\{s_n x\}) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(\log N)^{5/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \qquad \text{a.e.}$$

(Erdős and Koksma [28]) and

$$D_N(\{s_n x\}) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(\log N)^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \qquad \text{a.e.} \qquad \text{if } (s_n)_{n \geq 1} \text{ is increasing} \tag{3}$$

(Baker [10]). It is known that the exponent of the logarithmic term in (3) can in general not be reduced below 1/2 (Berkes and Philipp [17]), but as (2) shows for a specific sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ the typical speed of convergence of $D_N(\{s_n x\})$ can differ from (3) significantly. More details on metric discrepancy theory can be found in the book of Harman [34] and in our survey paper [6].

In 1935, Koksma [40] proved a very general result in uniform distribution theory, which as a special case contains the fact that for any $\xi > 0$ and any sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of distinct positive integers the sequence $(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})_{n \geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1 for almost all $x > 1$. In particular, geometric progressions $(\{x^n\})_{n \geq 1}$ are u.d. mod 1 for almost all $x > 1$. Erdős and Koksma [27] proved that the asymptotic order of the discrepancy of $(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})_{n \geq 1}$, in the case of increasing $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$, satisfies

$$D_N(\{\xi x^{s_n}\}) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(\log N)^{3/2}(\log\log N)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty \quad \text{for almost all } x > 1. \tag{4}$$

In 1950 this was improved by Cassels [20], who obtained

$$D_N(\{\xi x^{s_n}\}) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log N(\log\log N)^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty \quad \text{for almost all } x > 1. \tag{5}$$

Since then, no further improvements of (5) have been made. On the other hand, as far as I know, no asymptotic *lower* bounds for $D_N(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})$ or $D_N(\{x^n\})$ for typical values of x (in the sense of Lebesgue measure) have ever been proved.

Concerning the asymptotic distribution of $(\{x^{s_n}\})_{n \geq 1}$, it should be mentioned that Niederreiter and Tichy [44] proved that this sequence is completely uniformly distributed[1] modulo

---

[1] A sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is called completely uniformly distributed modulo 1, if for any $s \geq 1$ the s-dimensional sequence $((x_n, \ldots, x_{n+s-1}))_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 in $[0,1]^s$. See [23, 41] for details.

1 for almost all $x > 1$, by this means solving a problem posed by Knuth [39], who suggested complete uniform distribution as a criterion for pseudorandomness of deterministic sequences. For details see [44], as well as [45, 58] for quantitative results containing discrepancy estimates.
Koksma's theorem has been generalized to many other cases, including complex numbers (LeVeque [42]), quaternions (Tichy [57] and Nowak [46]) and matrices (Nowak and Tichy [47, 48]). In many cases, quantitative results similar to (4) and (5) exist.

Deciding whether $(\{x^n\})_{n\geq 1}$ or $(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})_{n\geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1 for a specific value of x is a notoriously difficult problem, and only few partial results are known. For a comprehensive survey, see [19]. A famous open problem is whether the sequence $(\{(3/2)^n\})_{n\geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1, but in fact we do not even know if $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\{(3/2)^k\} - \liminf_{n\to\infty}\{(3/2)^k\} \geq 1/2$. Mahler [43] asked whether there exists a number $\xi > 0$ for which $\{\xi(3/2)^n\} \in [0, 1/2]$ for all $n \geq 1$. These problems are connected with other difficult mathematical problems, such as Waring's problem (see [12]) and the $3x + 1$ problem (see [29]). For an overview see [55]; recent contributions are e.g. due to Akiyama, Frougny and Sakarovitch [7, 8], Dubickas [24, 25] and Kaneko [36, 37].

The purpose of the present paper is to prove precise metric results for the discrepancy of sequences of the form $(\xi x^{s_n})_{n\geq 1}$, where $\xi > 0$ is a arbitrary (fixed) number and $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is an arbitrary sequence of distinct positive integers. As a special case we obtain precise metric results for geometric progressions $(\xi x^n)_{n\geq 1}$, and as a byproduct of the proof of our main theorem we also obtain a central limit theorem for $(f(\xi x^{s_n}))_{n\geq 1}$.

Theorem 1 *For any sequence $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of distinct positive integers and any number $\xi > 0$ we have for almost all $x > 1$*

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N^*(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain the following corollary for geometric progressions of the form $(\xi x^n)_{n\geq 1}$.

Corollary 1 *For any $\xi > 0$ we have for almost all $x > 1$*

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(\{\xi x^{n}\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N^*(\{\xi x^{n}\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

As a byproduct of our proof of Theorem 1 we also get the following central limit theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let f be a function satisfying*

$$f(x) = f(x+1), \qquad \int_0^1 f(x)\ dx = 0, \qquad \mathrm{Var}_{[0,1]} f \leq 2. \tag{6}$$

Then for any sequence $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of distinct positive integers, any number $\xi > 0$ and any nonempty interval $[A, B] \subset (1, \infty)$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(x \in [A, B] :\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \leq t \right) \to \Phi(t) \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

Here $\mathbb{P}$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on $[A, B]$, and Φ denotes the standard normal distribution function. The convergence is uniform in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

I am not certain if these results are expected or surprising. Of course, it is reasonable to imagine that functions $f(\xi x^m\}$ and $f(\xi x^n)$ are "almost independent" if the difference between m and n is large, and that therefore the system $(f(\xi x^{s_n}))_{n \geq 1}$ and the discrepancy $D_N(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})$ should show "almost" the same behavior as in the case of an i.i.d. random sequence. For example, Beck [11, p. 55] writes that Koksma's theorem on the uniform distribution of $(\{x^n\})_{n \geq 1}$ for a.e. x "was extended later to more delicate results such as the law of the iterated logarithm and the central limit theorem", although such results have not been proved so far; apparently Beck was convinced that they must be true.

However, comparing the case of sequences of the form $(\xi x^{s_n})_{n \geq 1}$ to the somewhat similar case of lacunary sequences, one sees that it is by no means clear that the (precise) LIL and CLT have to hold for geometric progressions. In the case of lacunary sequences $(s_n x)_{n \geq 1}$, the value of the limsup in the LIL for the discrepancy depends on the precise number-theoretic properties of $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in a very complicated way, and can even be non-constant (see [1, 2, 3]). Furthermore, the asymptotic behavior of lacunary sequences can change significantly after a permutation of its terms, see [31, 32]. Similarly, the CLT for lacunary sequences $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is only true if the sequence satisfies certain number-theoretic conditions, and the limit distribution of $N^{-1/2} \sum_{n=1}^N f(s_n x)$ can fail to be Gaussian (see [5]).

Lacunary sequences and geometric progressions are essentially of the same order of growth, so it could also be imagined that additional number-theoretic conditions (like the Diophantine conditions in the case of lacunary sequences) would be necessary to obtain the precise LIL and CLT for geometric progressions. However, no such additional conditions are necessary, and apparently this is due to the fact that sequences of the form $(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})$ by construction necessarily have a more inhomogeneous structure than lacunary sequences, which for example in the case $(\{2^n x\})_{n \geq 1}$ can have a very strong periodic and homogeneous structure with respect to both x and n.

The proof of the main theorem of this paper is based on methods which were developed for lacunary function systems. However, there are several major differences to the case of geometric progressions, which made it necessary to develop a new machinery. The two most significant differences are:

- Lacunary systems $(f(s_n x))_{n \geq 1}$ have a direct connection to Fourier analysis, and can be expanded into a Fourier series in a very simple and natural way. This makes it possible to reduce the calculation of L^p-norms or exponential norms to counting the number of solutions of Diophantine equations, by utilizing the orthogonality of the trigonometric system. In the present situation this is not possible, and instead of orthogonality properties we have to use the fact that a function $f(\xi x^n)$ is highly oscillatory in comparison with $f(\xi x^m)$ if $n \gg m$. While in the lacunary case the orthogonality of the trigonometric system guarantees that in calculating integrals most of the mixed factors vanish, we have to use the van der Corput inequality (see below) instead and take care of a huge number of small quantities.
- For any f satisfying (6) and any integer n the function $f(nx)$ is periodic with period n, which means that the global problem of considering all possible values of x can often be reduced to considering x only "locally", and all values of x can be treated in the same way. In the present case we have functions of the form $f(\xi x^n)$, which do not posses this

homogeneous structure. On the contrary, the speed of oscillation of $f(\xi x^n)$ increases as x increases, which for example makes the martingale approximation in Section 7 much more complicated than in the lacunary case.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2 we formulate several auxiliary results which will be necessary for the proofs. In particular this includes the statement of the van der Corput lemma, which is a crucial ingredient in our proof.
- In Section 3 we prove a large deviations bound for $\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} f(\xi x^{s_n})$, which is a consequence of an exponential inequality in the spirit of Takahashi [56] and Philipp [49].
- In Section 4 we prove a maximal version of the large deviations inequality from Section 3. For the proof of this maximal inequality we use a dyadic decomposition of the index set.
- In Section 5 we prove a bounded law of the iterated logarithm for functions which are the remainder of a Fourier series of a function satisfying (6). Since the contribution of the remainder function of the d-th partial sum of the Fourier series is small, it is sufficient to prove the exact LIL for trigonometric polynomials instead of general functions f.
- In Section 6 we prove a bounded law of the iterated logarithm for a modified discrepancy, which considers only "small" subintervals of $[0,1]$. We show that the contribution of these small intervals is small, and that the proof of Theorem 1 can be reduced to proving the exact LIL for a single function f instead of a supremum over uncountable many indicator functions.
- In Section 7 and Section 8 we prove the exact LIL for trigonometric polynomials. The proof uses an approximation by martingale differences, which has been developed by Berkes and, independently, Philipp and Stout. The main ingredient in the proof is an inequality of Heyde and Brown, giving an estimate for the deviation between the distribution of a sum of martingale differences and the normal distribution.
- In Section 9 the precise LIL for functions satisfying (6) is obtained as a consequence of the results from Section 5 and Section 8.
- Finally, in Section 10 we give the proof of Theorem 1. In Section 11 we show how the proof of Theorem 2 can be obtained without much additional effort as a byproduct of the proof of Theorem 1.

2 Preliminaries

We will assume throughout the rest of the paper that the number $\xi > 0$ is fixed. Furthermore, it is sufficient to prove that Theorem 1 holds for almost all $x \in [A,B]$, where $[A,B] \subset (1,\infty)$ is an arbitrary interval. Throughout the rest of the paper, the numbers A, B satisfying $1 < A < B$ will be fixed. We will write c for positive numbers, not always the same, which may *only* depend on ξ and A, B, but not on N, n, f, d or anything else (unless stated otherwise at the beginning of the respective section). In the same sense we will use the symbols "$\ll$" and "$\gg$". We write λ for the Lebesgue measure. For simplicity of writing we will assume that $B - A = 1$, which means that $([A,B], \mathcal{B}([A,B]), \lambda)$ is a probability space. We will write $\mathbb{P}$ for the Lebesgue measure on $[A,B]$, and $\mathbb{E}$ for the expected value with respect to this measure.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we will write $\exp(x)$ for e^x. Furthermore, $\log x$ denotes the natural logarithm, and should be interpreted as $\max\{1, \log x\}$. We set

$$\|f\| = \left(\int_A^B (f(x))^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{I}_{[a,b)}(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[a,b)}(x) - (b-a). \tag{7}$$

Then for any $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ the function $\mathbf{I}_{[a,b)}$ satisfies (6).

Lemma 1 ([60, p. 48]) *Let f be a function satisfying* (6)*, and write*

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j \cos 2\pi x + b_j \sin 2\pi x)$$

for its Fourier series. Then

$$|a_j| \leq \frac{1}{j}, \qquad |b_j| \leq \frac{1}{j}, \qquad \text{for} \quad j \geq 1.$$

The following Lemma 2 is a special case of the van der Corput lemma. It can be found e.g. in [41, Chapter 1, Section 1, Lemma 2.1] or [53, Chapter VIII, Proposition 2].

Lemma 2 *Suppose that $\phi(x)$ is real-valued, that $|\phi'(x)| \geq \gamma$ for some positive γ, and that ϕ' is monotonic for all $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$\left| \int_\alpha^\beta e^{2\pi i \phi(x)} \, dx \right| \leq \gamma^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 below follow directly from Lemma 2.

Lemma 3 *Let n be a positive integer. Then for any subinterval $[\alpha, \beta]$ of $[A,B]$ and any integer $j \geq 1$ we have*

$$\left| \int_\alpha^\beta \cos(2\pi j \xi x^n) \, dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{j \xi n \alpha^{n-1}}.$$

Lemma 4 *Let $m \neq n$ be positive integers. Then for any positive integers j, k and any subinterval $[\alpha, \beta]$ of $[A,B]$ we have*

$$\left| \int_\alpha^\beta \cos(2\pi \xi (j x^n + k x^m)) \, dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{\xi \max\{m,n\} \alpha^{\max\{m,n\}-1}}.$$

Lemma 5 *Let $m < n$ be positive integers. Then for any positive integers j, k and for any $\eta > 0$ there exist three disjoint intervals I_1, I_2, I_3 (depending on j, k, m, n) such that*

$$\lambda\left([A,B] \backslash (I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3)\right) \leq 2B\eta$$

and such that for any interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ which is completely contained in one of the intervals I_1, I_2 or I_3 we have

$$\left| \int_\alpha^\beta \cos(2\pi\xi(jx^n - kx^m)) \ dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{\xi\eta m \alpha^{m-1}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5: We want to use Lemma 2 for $\phi(x) = 2\pi\xi(jx^n - kx^m)$. Obviously this is not directly possible, since it might happen that $\phi'(x) = 2\pi\xi(jnx^{n-1} - kmx^{m-1}) = 0$ for some x. We have

$$\phi'(x) = \xi\left(jnx^{n-1} - kmx^{m-1}\right) = \xi(jnx^{n-m} - km)x^{m-1}.$$

Clearly, for

$$x_1 = \left(\frac{km}{jn}\right)^{1/(n-m)}$$

we have $\phi'(x_1) = 0$, and for any other $x > 1$ we have $\phi'(x) \neq 0$. Furthermore, it is easily seen that for $x > 1$ there is only one possible value where $\phi''(x) = 0$, namely the value

$$x_2 = \left(\frac{km(m-1)}{jn(n-1)}\right)^{1/(n-m)}.$$

Note that $x_2 < x_1$. This means that the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ can be partitioned into at most 3 subintervals, in all of which $\phi'(x)$ is monotonic, respectively. More precisely, in the interval $(1, x_2]$ the function ϕ' is negative and monotonic decreasing, in $[x_2, x_1]$ it is negative and monotonic increasing, and in $[x_1, \infty)$ it is positive and monotonic increasing.

We have

$$\frac{jnx_1^{n-1}}{kmx_1^{m-1}} = 1.$$

Thus for any x satisfying $x \geq x_1(1+\eta)$ this implies

$$\frac{jnx^{n-1}}{kmx^{m-1}} \geq \underbrace{\frac{jnx_1^{n-1}}{kmx_1^{m-1}}}_{=1} (1+\eta)^{n-m} \geq 1+\eta,$$

and consequently

$$\phi'(x) \geq ((1+\eta-1)\,\xi kmx^{m-1} \geq \xi\eta m\alpha^{m-1}. \tag{8}$$

Similarly, for any x satisfying $x \leq x_1(1-\eta)$ we have

$$\frac{jnx^{n-1}}{kmx^{m-1}} \leq \underbrace{\frac{jnx_1^{n-1}}{kmx_1^{m-1}}}_{=1} (1-\eta)^{n-m} \leq 1-\eta$$

and consequently

$$|\phi'(x)| \geq \left|(1-\eta-1)\,\xi k m x^{m-1}\right| \geq \xi \eta m \alpha^{m-1}. \tag{9}$$

Now we set

$$E = \left[x_1\,(1-\eta)\,, x_1\,(1+\eta)\right]$$

and

$$I_1 = [A, x_2] \backslash E, \quad I_2 = [x_2, x_1] \backslash E, \qquad I_3 = [x_1, B] \backslash E.$$

Note that it is possible that some of these three intervals are empty, which is no problem. Whenever $[\alpha, \beta]$ is completely contained in one of the intervals I_1, I_2 or I_3 the derivative of $\phi(x)$ is monotonic in $[\alpha, \beta]$, and by (8) and (9) for any $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ we have

$$|\phi'(x)| \geq \xi \eta m \alpha^{m-1}.$$

Thus in this case by Lemma 2

$$\left| \int_\alpha^\beta \cos(2\pi \xi (j x^n - k x^m))\ dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{\xi \eta m \alpha^{m-1}}.$$

Note also that

$$\lambda\left([A, B] \backslash (I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3)\right) = \lambda(E) \leq 2B\eta.$$

This proves Lemma 5.

3 Exponential inequality

Lemma 6 *Let f be a function satisfying* (6)*. Assume additionally that*

$$\|f\| \geq \frac{N^{-1/4}}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{10}$$

Then there exist numbers $c_A \geq 1$ and N_0 (depending only on A) such that for any $M \geq 0$, $N \geq N_0$, and any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(x \in [A, B] :\ \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq \delta c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{N \log\log N} \right) \\ \ll\ & \exp\Big((1-\delta/2)\|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log N\Big) + \delta^{-2} N^{-16}. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Lemma 6 we use a method of Takahashi [56], in a refined form of Philipp [49]. For simplicity of writing we assume that f is an even function, i.e. that it can be expanded into a pure cosine-series

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \cos 2\pi j x;$$

the proof in the general case is exactly the same. Then by Lemma 1 we have

$$|a_j| \leq \frac{1}{j}, \qquad j \geq 1. \tag{11}$$

For any given $M \geq 0$, we write $(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ for the sequence $(s_{M+1}, \dots, s_{M+N})$, sorted in increasing order. Set

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^{38}} a_j \cos 2\pi j x, \qquad r(x) = f(x) - g(x).$$

Note that (6) implies

$$\|f\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \leq 1. \tag{12}$$

Thus

$$\|g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \mathrm{Var}_{[0,1]} f \leq 3, \tag{13}$$

by Lemma 1 and equations (1.25) and (3.5) of Chapter III of [60].

Lemma 6 will be deduced from Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 below.

Lemma 7 *There exists a constant $\tilde{c}_A$ such that for any sufficiently large N (depending only on A) and any $\tau > 0$ satisfying*

$$\tau \geq N^{-1/2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad 12\tau \left\lceil N^{1/8} \right\rceil \leq 1 \tag{14}$$

we have

$$\int_A^B \exp\left(\tau \sum_{n=1}^N g\left(\xi x^{w_n}\right)\right) dx \ll e^{\tau^2 \tilde{c}_A \|f\| N}. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 8

$$\int_A^B \left(\sum_{n=1}^N r(\xi x^{w_n})\right)^2 dx \ll N^{-15}.$$

Corollary 2 *Under the assumptions of Lemma 7, we have*

$$\int_A^B \exp\left(\left|\tau \sum_{n=1}^N g(\xi x^{w_n})\right|\right) dx \ll e^{\tau^2 \tilde{c}_A \|f\| N}.$$

The corollary is obtained by using Lemma 7 also for the function $-g(x)$ instead of $g(x)$.

Proof of Lemma 7: We use the inequality

$$e^z \leq 1 + z + z^2, \qquad \text{for } |z| \leq 1. \tag{16}$$

Set

$$H = \left\lceil N^{1/8} \right\rceil, \tag{17}$$

and

$$P = \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \ Hm < N\}.$$

For $1 \leq m < P$ set

$$U_m(x) = \sum_{n=Hm+1}^{H(m+1)} g(\xi x^{w_n}), \tag{18}$$

and

$$U_P(x) = \sum_{n=HP+1}^{N} g(\xi x^{w_n}).$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} g(\xi x^{w_n}) = \sum_{m=1}^{P} U_m(x).$$

By (13) and the first inequality in (14) we have

$$\int_A^B \exp\left(4\tau U_P(x)\right)\ dx \le e^1 \ll e^{\tilde{c}_A \tau^2 N} \tag{19}$$

(the value of $\tilde{c}_A$ will be chosen later, but we can assume that $\tilde{c}_A \ge 1$). By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (15) follows from (19), together with

$$\int_A^B \exp\left(4\tau \sum_{m:\ 1 \le 2m < P} U_{2m}(x)\right)\ dx \ll e^{\tilde{c}_A \tau^2 N}, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\int_A^B \exp\left(4\tau \sum_{m:\ 1 \le 2m+1 < P} U_{2m+1}(x)\right)\ dx \ll e^{\tilde{c}_A \tau^2 N}. \tag{21}$$

The main idea of splitting the integral (15) into the parts (20) and (21) is that by separating the functions U_m into two classes (those with even and those with odd index) there is also a separation of the corresponding values of w_n in (18). Consequently, two functions U_{m_1} and U_{m_2} which have both even or both odd index are "almost" independent, and $\int_A^B e^{U_{m_1}} e^{U_{m_2}}\ dx \approx \left(\int_A^B e^{U_{m_1}}\ dx\right)\left(\int_A^B e^{U_{m_2}}\ dx\right)$. Furthermore, the number of summands in the definition of U_m is so small that we can use the approximation (16) for τU_m.

We will only prove (20); the proof of (21) can be given in exactly the same way. By (13) and (14) we have

$$|4\tau U_{2m}| \le 12\tau H \le 1, \qquad 1 \le 2m < P.$$

By (16) this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^B \exp\left(4\tau \sum_{1 \le 2m < P} U_{2m}(x)\right)\ dx &= \int_A^B \prod_{1 \le 2m < P} \exp\left(4\tau U_{2m}(x)\right)\ dx \\ &\le \int_A^B \prod_{1 \le 2m < P} \left(1 + 4\tau U_{2m}(x) + 16\tau^2 U_{2m}(x)^2\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For any $m,\ 1 \le 2m < P$, using the standard trigonometric identity

$$\cos x \cos y = \frac{1}{2}\left(\cos(x+y) + \cos(x-y)\right), \tag{22}$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
16\tau^2 U_{2m}^2 &= 16\tau^2 \left(\sum_{n=2Hm+1}^{H(2m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{N^{38}} a_j \cos\left(2\pi\xi j x^{w_n}\right) \right)^2 \\
&= 16\tau^2 \sum_{n_1,n_2=2Hm+1}^{H(2m+1)} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{N^{38}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2} \cos\left(2\pi\xi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} + j_2 x^{w_{n_2}})\right) \\
&\quad +16\tau^2 \sum_{n_1,n_2=2Hm+1}^{H(2m+1)} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{N^{38}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2} \cos\left(2\pi\xi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} - j_2 x^{w_{n_2}})\right) \\
&= 16\tau^2 \sum_{n_1,n_2=2Hm+1}^{H(2m+1)} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{N^{38}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2} \cos\left(2\pi\xi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} + j_2 x^{w_{n_2}})\right) \qquad (23) \\
&\quad +16\tau^2 \sum_{n_1,n_2=2Hm+1}^{H(2m+1)} \sum_{\underbrace{j_1,j_2=1}_{*}}^{N^{38}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2} \cos\left(2\pi\xi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} - j_2 x^{w_{n_2}})\right) \qquad (24) \\
&\quad +16\tau^2 \sum_{n_1,n_2=2Hm+1}^{H(2m+1)} \sum_{\underbrace{j_1,j_2=1}_{**}}^{N^{38}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2} \cos\left(2\pi\xi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} - j_2 x^{w_{n_2}})\right). \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the symbol "$*$" in (24) indicates that in this sum only those values of j_1, j_2 are considered, for which

$$\max\{j_1, j_2\} \geq \min\{j_1, j_2\} A^{|n_1-n_2|}.$$

while the symbol "$**$" in (25) means that this sum is restricted to those j_1, j_2 for which

$$\max\{j_1, j_2\} < \min\{j_1, j_2\} A^{|n_1-n_2|}. \qquad (26)$$

We write $W_{2m}(x)$ for the sum of $4\tau U_{2m}(x)$ plus the expressions in (23) and (24), and V_{2m} for the expression in (25). Then

$$1 + 4\tau U_{2m}(x) + 16\tau^2 U_{2m}(x)^2 = 1 + W_{2m}(x) + V_{2m}(x). \qquad (27)$$

Then for $V_{2m}(x)$ we have, using (11), (26), and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|V_{2m}\|_\infty &\leq 16\tau^2 \sum_{n_1,n_2=2Hm+1}^{H(2m+1)} \sum_{\underbrace{j_1,j_2=1}_{\max\{j_1,j_2\}\geq\min\{j_1,j_2\}A^{|n_1-n_2|}}}^{N^{38}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2} \\
&\leq 64\tau^2 \sum_{2Hm+1\leq n_1\leq n_2\leq H(2m+1)} \sum_{j_2=1}^{N^{38}} \sum_{j_1\geq j_2 A^{(n_2-n_1)}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2} \\
&\leq 64\tau^2 H \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{N^{38}} \sum_{j_1\geq j_2 A^{\ell}} \frac{a_{j_1}a_{j_2}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq\ 64\tau^2 H \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N^{38}} \left(\frac{a_j}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j\geq A^{\ell}} \frac{1}{j^2}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq\ \tau^2 H \|f\| \tilde{c}_A, \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_A$ is a constant depending only on A. Thus by (27)

$$1 + 4\tau U_{2m}(x) + 16\tau^2 U_{2m}(x)^2 \leq 1 + W_{2m}(x) + \tau^2 H \|f\| \tilde{c}_A.$$

We can write the function $W_{2m}(x)$ as a sum of at most $3H^2N^{76}$ functions of the form

$$\cos\left(2\pi\xi j x^{\ell}\right) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \cos\left(2\pi\xi (j_1 x^{\ell_1} \pm j_2 x^{\ell_2})\right), \qquad (29)$$

all of which have coefficients bounded by $\max\{|a_j|,\ j \geq 1\} \leq 1$. The derivative of the arguments of the cosine-functions in (29) is at most

$$4\pi\xi N^{38} w_{H(2m+1)} x^{w_{H(2m+1)}-1} \qquad \text{for } x \in [A,B], \qquad (30)$$

and, on the other hand, by construction, this derivative is at least

$$2\pi\xi w_{2Hm+1} x^{w_{2Hm+1}-1} \left(1 - A^{-1}\right) \qquad \text{for } x \in [A,B] \qquad (31)$$

(since functions having smaller derivative are collected in V_{2m}). Furthermore, the second derivative is at least

$$2\pi\xi w_{2Hm+1} \left(w_{2Hm+1} - 1\right) x^{w_{2Hm+1}-2} \left(1 - A^{-1}\right) \qquad \text{for } x \in [A,B]. \qquad (32)$$

By (27) and (28) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_A^B \prod_{1\leq 2m<P} \left(1 + 4\tau U_{2m(x)} + 16\tau^2 U_{2m}(x)^2\right) dx \\
\leq\ &\int_A^B \prod_{1\leq 2m<P} \left(1 + W_{2m}(x) + \tau^2 H \|f\| \tilde{c}_A\right) dx
\end{aligned}
$$

For some L let $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_L$ be any numbers from the set $\{m :\ 1 \leq 2m < P\}$, and let $h_{i_1}(x), \ldots, h_{i_L}(x)$ be functions of the form (29) from $W_{2i_1}(x), \ldots, W_{2i_L}(x)$, resp. Then by (30) and (31) the product

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{L} h_{i_\ell} \qquad (33)$$

is a sum of cosine-functions with coefficients at most 1, such that the argument of each cosine-function has derivative at least

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\pi\xi \left(w_{2Hi_L+1} x^{w_{2Hi_L+1}-1} \left(1 - A^{-1}\right) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{L-1} 2N^{38} w_{H(2i_\ell+1)} x^{w_{H(2i_\ell+1)}-1}\right) \\
\geq\ &2\pi\xi w_{2Hi_L+1} \left(x^{w_{2Hi_L+1}-1} \left(1 - A^{-1}\right) - 2N^{38} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L-1} x^{w_{H(2i_\ell+1)}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\geq \quad 2\pi\xi w_{2Hi_L+1}\left(x^{w_{2Hi_L+1}-1}\left(\left(1-A^{-1}\right) - 2N^{39}\underbrace{\frac{x^{w_{H(2i_{L-1}+1)}-1}}{x^{w_{2Hi_L+1}-1}}}_{\leq x^{-H}} \right)\right) \\
&\geq \quad 2\pi\xi w_{2Hi_L+1}\left(x^{2Hi_L}\left(\left(1-A^{-1}\right)-2N^{39}x^{-H}\right)\right) \\
&\gg \quad A^{2Hi_L},
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large N, since by (17)

$$2N^{39}x^{-H} \leq \frac{(1-A^{-1})}{2}$$

for sufficiently large N (depending only on A). Similarly, using (32), it is seen that the second derivative of the argument of each cosine-function which appears in (33) is positive (for sufficiently large N). Thus by Lemma 2

$$\int_A^B \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{L} h_{i_\ell}\right)\, dx \ll A^{-2Hi_L}.$$

For any fixed K, there are in total at most

$$(3H^2N^{76})^{i_L}$$

functions of the form (33) for which $i_L = K$ (in other words, functions which are composed from one function in W_{2K} and at most one function from $W_2, W_4, \dots, W_{2K-2}$). Furthermore, each of them has coefficient at most 1. Thus, using $1 + x \leq e^x$ and

$$\sum_{K=1}^{\lceil P/2\rceil} \frac{(3H^2N^{76})^{2K}}{A^{2HK}} \leq \sum_{K=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(3H^2N^{76})}{A^H}\right)^{2K} \ll 1 \qquad \text{for sufficiently large } N,$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_A^B \prod_{1\leq 2m<P}\left(1+W_{2m}(x)+\tau^2H\|f\|\tilde{c}_A\right) dx \\
\ll \quad & \left(\prod_{1\leq 2m<P}\left(1+\tau^2H\|f\|\tilde{c}_A\right)\right)\left(1+\sum_{K=1}^{\lceil P/2\rceil}\frac{(3H^2N^{76})^{2K}}{A^{2HK}}\right) \\
\ll \quad & \prod_{1\leq 2m<P}\exp\left(\tau^2H\|f\|\tilde{c}_A\right) \\
\ll \quad & \exp\left(\tau^2\tilde{c}_A\|f\|N\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Lemma 7.

Proof of Lemma 8: By Minkowski's inequality

$$\left(\int_A^B\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} r(\xi x^{w_n})\right)^2\, dx\right)^{1/2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\int_A^B \left(r(\xi x^{w_n})\right)^2\, dx\right)^{1/2}. \tag{34}$$

For w_n fixed, using (11), Lemma 3 and the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_A^B \left(r(\xi x^{w_n})\right)^2 \, dx \\
= & \int_A^B \left(\sum_{j,k=N^{38}+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j a_k}{2} \big(\cos(2\pi(j+k)\xi x^{w_n}) + \cos(2\pi(j-k)\xi x^{w_n}) \big) \right) dx \\
\leq & \sum_{j,k=N^{38}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2jk} \left| \int_A^B \left(\cos(2\pi(j+k)\xi x^{w_n}) + \cos(2\pi(j-k)\xi x^{w_n}) \right) dx \right| \\
\leq & \; 2 \sum_{j=N^{38}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j(j+\ell)} \left| \int_A^B \left(\cos(2\pi(2j+\ell)\xi x^{w_n}) + \cos(2\pi\ell\xi x^{w_n}) \right) dx \right| \\
\leq & \; 2 \sum_{j=N^{38}+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{j^2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j(j+\ell)} \left(\frac{1}{(2j+\ell)\xi w_n A^{w_n-1}} + \frac{1}{\ell \xi w_n A^{w_n-1}} \right) \right) \\
\leq & \; \frac{2}{N^{38}} + 2 \sum_{j=N^{38}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j(j+\ell)\ell} \frac{1}{\xi w_n A^{w_n-1}} \\
\leq & \; \frac{2}{N^{38}} + \frac{2}{\xi} \sum_{j=N^{38}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j(j+\ell)\ell} \\
\leq & \; \frac{2}{N^{38}} + \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{j=N^{38}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{3/2}\ell^{3/2}} \\
\ll & \; N^{-17}.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (34) this proves Lemma 8.

Proof of Lemma 6: We use Corollary 2 for

$$\tau = \tilde{c}_A^{-1/2} N^{-1/2} (\log\log N)^{1/2} \|f\|^{-3/4}$$

(here $\tilde{c}_A$ is the constant from the statement of Corollary 2). Then by (10) and (12) we have

$$\tau \geq \tilde{c}_A^{-1/2} N^{-1/2} (\log\log N)^{1/2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau \leq 2\tilde{c}_A^{-1/2} N^{-5/16} (\log\log N)^{1/2},$$

and condition (14) is satisfied for sufficiently large N. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_A^B \exp\left(\left| \tilde{c}_A^{-1/2} N^{-1/2} (\log\log N)^{1/2} \|f\|^{-3/4} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} g(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \right) dx \\
\ll & \; e^{\|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log N},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies for arbitrary $\delta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} g(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq (\delta/2)\sqrt{\tilde{c}_A} \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{N \log\log N} \right) \ll e^{(1-\delta/2)\|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log N}. \tag{35}$$

By Lemma 8, Markov's inequality and (10) we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} r(\xi x^{s_n})\right| \geq (\delta/2)\sqrt{\tilde{c}_A}\|f\|^{1/4}\sqrt{N\log\log N}\right) \ll \|f\|^{-1/2}\delta^{-2}N^{-17} \leq \delta^{-2}N^{-16}. \tag{36}$$

Combining (35) and (36) we finally obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} f(\xi x^{s_n})\right| \geq \delta \underbrace{\sqrt{\tilde{c}_A}}_{=:c_A}\|f\|^{1/4}\sqrt{N\log\log N}\right) \ll e^{(1-\delta/2)\|f\|^{-1/2}\log\log N} + \delta^{-2}N^{-16},$$

which proves Lemma 6.

4 Maximal inequality

Lemma 9 *For any sufficiently large m (depending only on A) we have the following: Let f be a function satisfying* (6) *and*

$$\|f\| \geq \frac{2^{-m/4}}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{37}$$

Then for the number c_A from Lemma 6 and any $\gamma \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(x \in [A,B]: \max_{1\leq M\leq 2^m}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} f(\xi x^{s_n})\right| \geq 84\gamma c_A\|f\|^{1/4}\sqrt{2^m\log\log 2^m}\right)\\ \ll\ &\exp\left(-\gamma\|f\|^{-1/2}\log\log 2^m\right) + 2^{-3m}.\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3 *For any sufficiently large N (depending only on A) we have the following: Let f be a function satisfying* (6)*. Assume additionally that* (10) *holds. Then for the number c_A from Lemma 6 and any $\gamma \geq 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(x \in [A,B]: \max_{1\leq M\leq N}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} f(\xi x^{s_n})\right| \geq 119\gamma c_A\|f\|^{1/4}\sqrt{N\log\log N}\right)\\ \ll\ &\exp\left(-\gamma\|f\|^{-1/2}\log\log N\right) + N^{-3}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 9: For the proof of Lemma 9 we use a classical dyadic decomposition method, which is frequently used for proving maximal inequalities in probability theory and probabilistic number theory (see, for example, [9, 33]). By Lemma 6 for the complete sum $\sum_{n=1}^{2^m} f(\xi x^{s_n})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{2^m} f(\xi x^{s_n})\right| \geq 84\gamma c_A\|f\|^{1/4}\sqrt{N\log\log N}\right)\\ \ll\ &\exp\left((1-84\gamma/2)\|f\|^{-1/2}\log\log 2^m\right) + 2^{-16m}\\ \ll\ &\exp\left(-41\gamma\|f\|^{-1/2}\log\log 2^m\right) + 2^{-16m}.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Any number $M < 2^m$ can be written in dyadic representation

$$M = \varepsilon_0 + 2\varepsilon_1 + 4\varepsilon_2 + \cdots + 2^{m-1}\varepsilon_{m-1} \qquad \text{for digits } \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1}.$$

Writing $\mathcal{S}$ for the set of those numbers M, $1 \leq M \leq 2^m - 1$, for which $\varepsilon_0 = 0, \varepsilon_1 = 0, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m/4} = 0$, then by (37), for sufficiently large m,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq M \leq 2^m - 1} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 84 \gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{2^m \log\log 2^m} \right) \\ \leq \; & \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 83 \gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{2^m \log\log 2^m} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

For a set $U(K)$ containing 2^K consecutive elements of $\{1, \ldots, 2^m - 1\}$ for some K, $m/4 \leq K \leq m - 1$, we have, using the fact that

$$\log\log 2^K \geq \frac{\log\log 2^m}{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1 - 5(m-K) \geq 4(m-K)$$

for sufficiently large m, and using Lemma 6 for $\delta = 10(m-K)\gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \sum_{n \in U(K)} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 10(m-K) 2^{(K-m)/2} \gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{2^m \log\log 2^m} \right) \\ \leq \; & \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \sum_{n \in U(K)} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 10(m-K) \gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{2^K \log\log 2^K} \right) \\ \ll \; & \exp\left((1 - 10(m-K)\gamma/2) \|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log 2^K \right) + 2^{-16K} \\ \ll \; & \exp\left(-2(m-K)\gamma \|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log 2^m \right) + 2^{-16m/4} \\ \ll \; & 2^{-2(m-K)} \exp\left(-\gamma \|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log 2^m \right) + 2^{-4m}, \end{aligned}$$

provided m is sufficiently large. To be able to represent every set $\{1, \ldots, M\}$ for $M \in \mathcal{S}$ as a disjoint union of at most one set of cardinality 2^K for each $K \in \{K : \; m/4 \leq K \leq m-1\}$, we need in total 2^{m-K} sets of cardinality 2^K, for each $m/4 \leq K \leq m-1$. Thus, using

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 10k 2^{-k/2} \leq 83,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \quad & < \quad \sum_{K=m/4}^{m-1} 10(m-K) 2^{(K-m)/2} \gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{2^m \log\log 2^m} \\ & < \quad 83 \gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{2^m \log\log 2^m} \end{aligned}$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{S}$, except for a set $x \in [A, B]$ of measure at most

$$\ll \sum_{K=m/4}^{m-1} 2^{m-K} \left(2^{-2(m-K)} \exp\left(-\gamma \|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log 2^m \right) + 2^{-4m} \right)$$

$$\ll \exp\left(-\gamma \|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log 2^m\right) + 2^{-3m},$$

provided m is sufficiently large. Together with (38) and (39) this proves Lemma 9.

Proof of Corollary 3: Write $\hat{N}$ for the smallest number $\geq N$, which is a power of 2. Then $2N > \hat{N} \geq N$. Using Lemma 9 for the sequence $(\hat{s}_n)_{1\leq n\leq N} = (s_n)_{M+1\leq n\leq M+N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1\leq M\leq N} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| &\leq \max_{1\leq M\leq \hat{N}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \\ &< 84\gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{\hat{N} \log\log \hat{N}} \\ &\leq 119\gamma c_A \|f\|^{1/4} \sqrt{N \log\log N} \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large N, except for a set $x \in [A,B]$ of probability at most

$$\exp\left(-\gamma \|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log \hat{N}\right) + \left(\hat{N}\right)^{-3} \ll \exp\left(-\gamma \|f\|^{-1/2} \log\log N\right) + N^{-3}.$$

5 The law of the iterated logarithm for functions having small L^2-norm

Lemma 10 *Let f be a function of bounded variation satisfying* (6)*. For some $d \geq 1$, let p denote the d-th partial sum of the Fourier series of f, and let r denote the remainder term $f - p$. Then for the constant c_A from Lemma 6*

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} r(x^{s_n})\right|}{\sqrt{2N \log\log N}} \leq 238 c_A d^{-1/8} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Proof of Lemma 10: Using Lemma 1 we have

$$\|r\| \leq \sum_{j=d+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{j^2} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{d}},$$

and, by (6), we also have $\|r\| \leq 1$. Setting

$$D_m := \left(x \in [A,B] : \max_{1\leq M\leq 2^m} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M} r(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| > 84(2d^{-1/8}) c_A \sqrt{2^m \log\log 2^m} \right)$$

and using Lemma 9 for $\gamma = 2d^{-1/8}\|r\|^{-1/4} \geq 1.6$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(D_m) &\ll \exp(-1.6 \log\log 2^m) + 2^{-3m} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{m^{1.6}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(D_m) < \infty,$$

and by the Borel-Cantelli lemma with probability 1 only finitely many events D_m occur. Thus since $238 > \sqrt{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 84$ there are, also with probability 1, only finitely many N for which

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} r(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| > 238 c_A d^{-1/8} \sqrt{N \log \log N},$$

which proves the lemma.

6 The law of the iterated logarithm for the discrepancy for small intervals

In the present section we will prove a bounded law of the iterated logarithm for a modified version of the discrepancy, which only takes into account "small" intervals. More precisely, for an integer $R \geq 1$ and a sequence $(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in [0,1)^N$ set

$$D_N^{(\leq 2^{-R})}(z_1, \dots, z_N) := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq a < 2^R,\ 0 \leq b \leq 2^{-R}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[a2^{-R}, a2^{-R}+b)}(z_n) \right| \tag{40}$$

(the functions $\mathbf{I}$ were defined in (7)). In other words, the discrepancy $D_N^{(\leq 2^{-R})}$ considers only "small" intervals (those of length $\leq 2^{-R}$), which have their left corner in a point of the form $a2^{-R}$ for some $a \in \{0, \dots, 2^R - 1\}$. Furthermore, we set

$$D_N^{(\geq 2^{-R})}(z_1, \dots, z_N) := \max_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq a < b \leq 2^R} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[a2^{-R}, b2^{-R})}(z_n) \right|$$

and

$$D_N^{*\,(\geq 2^{-R})}(z_1, \dots, z_N) := \max_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < a \leq 2^R} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[0, a2^{-R})}(z_n) \right|$$

It is easily seen that always

$$D_N^{*\,(\geq 2^{-R})} \leq D_N^* \leq D_N \leq D_N^{(\geq 2^{-R})} + 3 D_N^{(\leq 2^{-R})}. \tag{41}$$

The idea to split the discrepancies D_N^* and D_N in this way to obtain precise metric discrepancy results is due to Fukuyama [30].

Lemma 11 *For any positive integer R we have for almost all $x \in [A, B]$*

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N^{(\leq 2^{-R})}(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})}{\sqrt{\log \log N}} \leq 10^7 c_A R^{-1},$$

where c_A is the constant from Lemma 6.

We use a dyadic decomposition of the unit interval, which was also used in [49]. For simplicity we will only consider the case $a = 0$, i.e.

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{0 \leq b \leq 2^{-R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[0,b)}(\xi x^{s_n}) \right|}{\sqrt{N \log \log N}} \leq 10^7 c_A R^{-1}$$

for almost all $x \in [A, B]$. The proof for the other possible values of a, that is for $1 \leq a \leq 2^R$, can be given in exactly the same way. This means that the exceptional set in Lemma 11 is a finite union of sets of measure zero, and consequently also has zero measure.

For $N \geq 1$ set

$$E_N = \left(\sup_{0 \leq b \leq 2^{-R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[0,b)}(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 10^7 c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{N \log \log N} \right),$$

and for $m \geq 1$ set

$$F_m = \left(\max_{1 \leq N \leq 2^{2m}} \quad \sup_{b \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq b \leq 2^{m-R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[0,b2^{-m})}(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 10^6 c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{2^{2m} \log \log 2^{2m}} \right).$$

Every interval $[0, b),\ 0 \leq b \leq 2^{-R}$, can be written as the union of an interval of the form $[0, j2^{-m})$ for some appropriate $j \in \mathbb{Z},\ 1 \leq j < 2^{m-R}$, and an interval B of length at most 2^{-m}. For any x from the complement of F_m we have for any $N,\ 1 \leq N \leq 2^{2m}$, and any such interval B,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_B(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| &< 2 \cdot 10^6 c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{2^{2m} \log \log 2^{2m}} + N 2^{-m} \\ &< \left(2 \cdot 10^6 + 1\right) c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{2^{2m} \log \log 2^{2m}}, \end{aligned}$$

provided m is sufficiently large (depending on A and R). Consequently for any sufficiently large N satisfying $2^{2m-2} \leq N \leq 2^{2m}$ for some m we have, for any x from the complement of F_m,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq b \leq 2^{-R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[0,b)}(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| &< (3 \cdot 10^6 + 1) c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{2^{2m} \log \log 2^{2m}} \\ &\leq 10^7 c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{N \log \log N}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for sufficiently large m we have

$$\bigcup_{2^{2m-2} \leq N \leq 2^{2m}} E_N \subset F_m,$$

and hence

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m < \infty \qquad \text{implies} \qquad \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N < \infty. \tag{42}$$

Writing b in binary expansion, it is easily seen that for any possible number $1 \leq b < 2^{m-R}$ the interval $[0, b2^{-m})$ can be written as the disjoint union of at most one interval of length 2^{-R-1}, at most one interval of length 2^{-R-2}, etc., and at most one interval of length 2^{-m}. Furthermore, to be able to represent all possible intervals $[0, b2^{-m})$ we need exactly

$$2^{k-R} \quad \text{intervals of length} \quad 2^{-k}, \qquad \text{for any } k \in \{R+1, \ldots, m\}. \tag{43}$$

Let f be the indicator function of an interval of length 2^{-k} for some $k \leq m$. Then

$$\frac{2^{-k/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \|f\| \leq 2^{-k/2}$$

and

$$\|f\| \geq \frac{2^{-2m/4}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

Consequently, using Lemma 9 with $\gamma = 2k$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq N \leq 2^{2m}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 84(2k) 2^{-k/8} c_A \sqrt{2^{2m} \log\log 2^{2m}} \right) \\ \ll \quad & \exp\left(-2k \log\log 2^{2m}\right) + 2^{-6m} \\ \ll \quad & \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{2k} + 2^{-6m}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that

$$\sum_{k=R+1}^{m} 84(2k) 2^{-k/8} \leq 250000 R^{-1}, \qquad \text{for any } R \geq 1.$$

Thus, using (43), we see that for any $b,\ 1 \leq b < 2^{m-R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq N \leq 2^{2m}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[0, b2^{-m})}(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \quad & \leq \quad \sum_{k=R+1}^{m} 84(2k) 2^{-k/8} c_A \sqrt{2^{2m} \log\log 2^{2m}} \\ & \leq \quad 250000 c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{2^{2m} \log\log 2^{2m}}, \end{aligned}$$

except for a set of measure at most

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \quad & \sum_{k=R+1}^{m} 2^{k-R} \left(\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{2k} + 2^{-6m} \right) \\ \ll \quad & m^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we also have for the full interval $[0, 2^{-R})$, again by Lemma 9,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq N \leq 2^{2m}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{[0, 2^{-R})}(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 250000 c_A R^{-1} \sqrt{2^{2m} \log\log 2^{2m}} \right) \quad \ll \quad m^{-2}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}(F_m) \ll m^{-2},$$

which by (42) and the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that with probability 1 only finitely many events E_N occur. This proves Lemma 11.

7 Martingale approximation

Throughout this section we assume that the number d is fixed; throughout this section the constants c and the implied constants in "$\ll$" and "$\gg$" may depend on d. We will exclude the trivial case $\|p\| = 0$, which is equivalent to $p \equiv 0$.

Lemma 12 *Let $p(x)$ be a trigonometric polynomial. Then for all numbers N which can be written in the form*

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (i^4 + i) \qquad \text{for some } M \tag{44}$$

we have

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(x \in [A,B] : \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n})}{\|p\| \sqrt{N}} < t \right) - \Phi(t) \right| \ll \frac{\log N}{N^{1/25}},$$

where Φ denotes the standard normal distribution function

A crucial ingredient in our proof of Lemma 12 is the following result of Heyde and Brown [35], which is based on a martingale version of the Skorokhod representation theorem due to Strassen [54]. We use the formulation from [16, Theorem A].

Lemma 13 *Let $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$ be a martingale difference sequence with finite fourth moments, let $V_M = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}(Y_i^2 | Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1})$ and let $(b_M)_{M \geq 1}$ be any sequence of positive numbers. Then*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_M}{\sqrt{b_M}} < t \right) - \Phi(t) \right| \leq K \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbb{E} Y_i^4 + \mathbb{E} \left((V_M - b_M)^2 \right)}{b_M^2} \right)^{1/5},$$

where K is an absolute constant.

Proof of Lemma 12: We use an argument based on approximation by martingale differences, which was already used in [4, 5]. This method was originally developed by Berkes [13, 15, 14] and Philipp and Stout [50]. Since in our case the functions, which we want to approximate, are not periodic, we have to construct an increasing sequence of space-inhomogeneous σ-fields for the approximation.

For simplicity of writing we assume that p is an even function; the proof in the general case is exactly the same. Then we can write p in the form

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \cos 2\pi j x.$$

For simplicity of writing we will also assume that $\|p\| \leq 1$ and $|a_j| \leq 1, \ j \geq 1$.

We subdivide the set of positive integers consecutively into blocks Δ_i ("large blocks") and Δ_i' ("small blocks"), in such a way that

- the block Δ_i contains i^4 elements, for $i \geq 1$.
- the block Δ_i' contains i elements, for $i \geq 1$.

- elements of Δ_i are smaller than elements of Δ_i', for $i \geq 1$.
- elements of Δ_i are smaller than elements of Δ_{i+1}, for $i \geq 1$.
- $\bigcup_{i\geq 1}(\Delta_i \cup \Delta_i') = \mathbb{N}$.

Assume that N is of the form (44) for some appropriate M. Then by construction

$$\{1,\dots,N\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \left(\Delta_i \cup \Delta_i'\right).$$

We write $(w_n)_{1\leq n\leq N}$ for the sequence $(s_n)_{1\leq n\leq N}$, rearranged in increasing order. Then clearly

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{w_n}). \tag{45}$$

We write $min(i)$ and $max(i)$ for the smallest resp. largest element of Δ_i, and set

$$m(i) = \left\lceil \log_2 \left(i^6 w_{max(i)} A^{w_{max(i)}} \right) \right\rceil, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

Note that

$$w_{\min(i)} - w_{\max(i-1)} \geq \min(i) - \max(i-1) \geq i-1, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq M. \tag{46}$$

We write G_i for the set of intervals of the form

$$H_j^{(i)} = \left[A + j 2^{-m(i)}, A + (j+1) 2^{-m(i)} \right), \quad j \in \{0, \dots, 2^{m(i)} - 1\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

In other words, G_i is a partition of $[A,B]$ into $2^{m(i)}$ subintervals of equal length. Write $x_j^{(i)}$ for the smallest number in $H_j^{(i)}$, that is

$$x_j^{(i)} = A + j 2^{-m(i)},$$

and split every interval $H_j^{(i)}$ into

$$2^{\left\lceil \log_2 \left(w_{\max(i)} \left(x_j^{(i)}/A \right) \right) \right\rceil}$$

pieces of equal length. Let $\mathcal{F}_i$ denote the σ-field generated by all these sets. Then $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{1\leq i\leq M}$ is an increasing family of σ-fields. For any i, any number $x \in [A,B]$ is contained in an atom of $\mathcal{F}_i$ which has length between

$$\frac{2^{-m(i)}}{2} \left(\frac{A}{x} \right)^{w_{\max(i)}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad 2^{-m(i)} \left(\frac{A}{x - 2^{-m(i)}} \right)^{w_{\max(i)}}. \tag{47}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq M$ we set

$$T_i = \sum_{n \in \Delta_i} p(\xi x^{w_n}), \qquad T_i' = \sum_{n \in \Delta_i'} p(\xi x^{w_n})$$

and

$$Y_i = \mathbb{E}\left(T_i \middle| \mathcal{F}_i\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(T_i \middle| \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right).$$

Then by (45)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left(T_i + T_i'\right).$$

Furthermore, Y_i is a discrete function, which by construction is constant on the atoms of $\mathcal{F}_i$, and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(Y_i \middle| \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right) = 0.$$

In other words, $(Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq M}$ is a martingale difference. Let $[\alpha, \beta)$ be any atom of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Then by (47)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta - \alpha \geq \frac{2^{-m(i-1)}}{2} \left(\frac{A}{\alpha}\right)^{w_{\max(i-1)}} & \geq \frac{1}{2(i-1)^6 w_{\max(i-1)} A^{w_{\max(i-1)}}} \left(\frac{A}{\alpha}\right)^{w_{\max(i-1)}} \\ & \gg \frac{1}{i^6 w_{\max(i-1)} \alpha^{w_{\max(i-1)}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Thus by Lemma 3 and (46)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \int_\alpha^\beta T_i(x)\, dx \right| & \leq \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \sum_{n \in \Delta_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d} |a_j| \left| \int_\alpha^\beta \cos 2\pi j \xi x^{w_n}\, dx \right| \\ & \ll \frac{i^6 w_{\max(i-1)} \alpha^{w_{\max(i-1)}}}{w_{\min(i)} \alpha^{w_{\min(i)}}} \\ & \ll i^6 A^{-i}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\mathbb{E}\left(T_i \middle| \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right) \ll i^6 A^{-i}. \tag{49}$$

Now, let $[\alpha, \beta)$ denote an atom of $\mathcal{F}_i$. By (47) we have

$$\beta - \alpha \leq 2^{-m(i)} \left(\frac{A}{\alpha - 2^{-m(i)}}\right)^{w_{\max(i)}} \ll \frac{1}{i^6 w_{\max(i)} (\alpha - 2^{-m(i)})^{w_{\max(i)}}}. \tag{50}$$

The derivative of $p(\xi x^{w_n})$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$ is bounded by

$$|p'(\xi x^{w_n})| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d} |2\pi j \xi w_n \beta^{w_n - 1}| \ll w_n \left(\alpha + 2^{-m(i)}\right)^{w_n}.$$

By the definition of $m(i)$ it is easily seen that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha + 2^{-m(i)}}{\alpha - 2^{-m(i)}}\right)^{w_{\max(i)}} \ll 1.$$

Thus by (50) for any $n \in \Delta_i$ the fluctuation of $p(\xi x^{w_n})$ on $[\alpha, \beta)$ is bounded by $\ll i^{-6}$. Therefore, together with (49), we obtain

$$|T_i - Y_i| \ll |\Delta_i| i^{-6} \ll i^{-2} \tag{51}$$

(here, and in the sequel, we write $|\cdot|$ for the number of elements of a set).

Next we have to calculate the conditional variances $\mathbb{E}(Y_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})$. By (51)

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\mathbb{E}(Y_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - \mathbb{E}(T_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right| &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(|(Y_i+T_i)(Y_i-T_i)|\Big|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right) \\ &\ll \|Y_i+T_i\|_\infty \|Y_i-T_i\|_\infty \\ &\ll |\Delta_i| i^{-2} \ll i^2, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

and thus we can reduce the problem to estimating $\mathbb{E}(T_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})$. Using (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^2 &= \left(\sum_{n\in\Delta_i}\sum_{j=1}^d a_j \cos(2\pi j\xi x^{w_n})\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n_1,n_2\in\Delta_i}\sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^d a_{j_1}a_{j_2}\left(\cos(2\pi\xi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}+j_2x^{w_{n_2}})) + \cos(2\pi\xi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}-j_2x^{w_{n_2}}))\right). \end{aligned}$$

In the above sum, for $n_1=n_2$ and $j_1=j_2$ we have $\cos(2\pi\xi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}-j_2x^{w_{n_2}}))=1$, and thus

$$\frac{1}{2}\underbrace{\sum_{n_1,n_2\in\Delta_i}\sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^d}_{(j_1,n_1)=(j_2,n_2)} a_{j_1}a_{j_2}\cos(2\pi\xi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}-j_2x^{w_{n_2}})) = |\Delta_i|\cdot\|p\|^2.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\mathbb{E}(T_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - |\Delta_i|\cdot\|p\|^2\right| \\ \leq\ &\sum_{n_1,n_2\in\Delta_i}\sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^d \left|\mathbb{E}\left(\cos(2\pi\xi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}+j_2x^{w_{n_2}}))\Big|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right| && (53) \\ &+\underbrace{\sum_{n_1,n_2\in\Delta_i}\sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^d}_{(j_1,n_1)\neq(j_2,n_2)} \left|\mathbb{E}\left(\cos(2\pi\xi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}-j_2x^{w_{n_2}}))\Big|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right|. && (54) \end{aligned}$$

Let $[\alpha,\beta)$ be any atom of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Using (46), (48) and Lemma 4, we see that for any function from (53)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(\beta-\alpha)}\int_\alpha^\beta \cos(2\pi\xi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}+j_2x^{w_{n_2}}))\,dx \\ \leq\ &\frac{2i^6 w_{\max(i-1)}\alpha^{w_{\max(i-1)}}}{\xi w_{\min(i)}\alpha^{w_{\min(i)}}} \\ \ll\ & i^6A^{-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any function from (53) we have

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\cos(2\pi(j_1x^{w_{n_1}}+j_2x^{w_{n_2}}))\Big|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right| \ll i^6A^{-i},$$

and consequently the whole double sum in (53) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Delta_i|^2 d^2 i^6 A^{-i} \\ \ll \ & i^{14} A^{-i}. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Now consider any function of the form $\cos(2\pi\xi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} - j_2 x^{w_{n_2}}))$ from (54). Then, using Lemma 5 with $\eta = i^{-12}$ we know that there exist three intervals I_1, I_2 and I_3 of total measure at least $1 - 2B\eta = 1 - 2Bi^{-12}$, such that for any atom $[\alpha, \beta)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ which is completely contained in one of the intervals I_1, I_2 or I_3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)} \int_\alpha^\beta \cos(2\pi\xi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} - j_2 x^{w_{n_2}}))\ dx \\ \leq \ & \frac{2i^6 w_{\max(i-1)} \alpha^{w_{\max(i-1)}}}{\xi\eta w_{\min(i)} \alpha^{w_{\min(i)}}} \\ \ll \ & i^{18} A^{-i}. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Since by (47) the length of any atom of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ is at most

$$2^{-m(i)} \leq A^{-i},$$

the total measure of those atoms of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ which are *not* completely contained in one of the intervals I_1, I_2, I_3 is at most

$$\lambda([A, B]) - \lambda(I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3) + 6A^{-i} \ll i^{-12}.$$

Combining this with (56) we obtain for any function from (54)

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left(\cos(2\pi(j_1 x^{w_{n_1}} - j_2 x^{w_{n_2}})) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right) \right| \ll i^{18} A^{-i} + i^{-12} \ll i^{-12}.$$

Thus the double sum in (54) is bounded by

$$|\Delta_i|^2 d^2 i^{-12} \ll i^{-4}, \tag{57}$$

and together with (55) we conclude that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}(T_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - |\Delta_i| \cdot \|p\|^2 \right| \ll i^{-4}. \tag{58}$$

Consequently, setting

$$V_M = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{E}(Y_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad b_M = \sum_{i=1}^M |\Delta_i| \cdot \|p\|^2,$$

we obtain by (52) and (58) that

$$\begin{aligned} |V_M - b_M| \ \leq \ & \sum_{i=1}^M \left(\left| \mathbb{E}(Y_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - \mathbb{E}(T_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \right| + \left| \mathbb{E}(T_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - |\Delta_i| \cdot \|p\|^2 \right| \right) \\ \ll \ & \sum_{i=1}^M \left(i^2 + i^{-4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\ll \quad M^3. \tag{59}$$

By Lemma 13 we have

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y_1 + \cdots + Y_M}{\sqrt{b_M}} < t \right) - \Phi(t) \right| \leq K \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{E} Y_i^4 + \mathbb{E}\left((V_M - b_M)^2 \right)}{b_M^2} \right)^{1/5}, \tag{60}$$

where K is an absolute constant and $\Phi(t)$ denotes the standard normal distribution function.

Using Lemma 6 with $\delta = 2(\log|\Delta_i|)(\log\log|\Delta_i|)^{-1/2}$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|T_i| \geq 2c_A \sqrt{|\Delta_i|} \log|\Delta_i| \right) \ll e^{-\log(i^4)} + \frac{1}{i^{64}} \ll i^{-64}.$$

Consequently, since $|T_i| \ll |\Delta_i|$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(T_i^4) \ll \left(\sqrt{|\Delta_i|} \log|\Delta_i| \right)^4 + i^{-64} |\Delta_i|^4 \ll i^8 (\log i)^4, \tag{61}$$

and, by (52),

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{E}(Y_i^4) \ll \sum_{i=1}^M i^8 (\log i)^4 \ll M^9 (\log M)^4. \tag{62}$$

On the other hand,

$$b_M \gg \sum_{i=1}^M |\Delta_i| \gg M^5. \tag{63}$$

Combining (59), (60), (61) and (63) we get

$$\sup_t \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^M Y_i}{\sqrt{b_M}} < t \right) - \Phi(t) \right| \ll \left(\frac{M^9 (\log M)^4 + M^6}{M^{10}} \right)^{1/5} \ll \frac{\log M}{M^{1/5}}.$$

By (51) we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^M (T_i - Y_i) \right| \ll \sum_{i=1}^M i^{-2} \ll 1. \tag{64}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\sqrt{N}\|p\| - \sqrt{b_M} \leq \frac{N\|p\|^2 - b_M}{\sqrt{N}\|p\| + \sqrt{b_M}} \ll \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M i\|p\|^2}{M^{5/2}} \ll M^{-1/2}, \tag{65}$$

and

$$\left| T_1' + \ldots T_M' \right| \ll \sum_{i=1}^M |\Delta_i'| \ll M^2. \tag{66}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^M Y_i = \sum_{n=1}^N p(\xi x^{w_n}) + \sum_{i=1}^M (T_i - Y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^M T_i',$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{w_n}) < \|p\|\sqrt{N}t$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} Y_i}{\sqrt{b_M}} < \underbrace{t\left(\frac{\|p\|\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{b_M}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M}(T_i - Y_i)}{t\sqrt{b_M}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} T_i'}{t\sqrt{b_M}}\right)}_{=:\hat{t}}.$$

Using (64), (65) and (66) we get

$$\hat{t} = t\left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(M^{-3} + M^{-1/2}t^{-1}\right)\right),$$

and consequently

$$\left|\Phi(t) - \Phi\left(\hat{t}\right)\right| \ll M^{-1/2}.$$

Thus we finally get

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{w_n})}{\|p\|\sqrt{N}} < t\right) - \Phi(t)\right| \ll \frac{\log M}{M^{1/5}} \ll \frac{\log N}{N^{1/25}},$$

which proves the lemma.

8 The law of the iterated logarithm for trigonometric polynomials

In the present section we will prove the exact law of the iterated logarithm for trigonometric polynomials. We will split the proof into two parts:

Lemma 14 *Let p be a trigonometric polynomial. Then for almost all $x \in [A, B]$*

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n})\right|}{\sqrt{N \log\log N}} \le \sqrt{2}\|p\|.$$

Lemma 15 *Let p be a trigonometric polynomial. Then for almost all $x \in [A, B]$*

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n})\right|}{\sqrt{N \log\log N}} \ge \sqrt{2}\|p\|.$$

Proof of Lemma 14: Choose $\theta > 1$ ("small"). For any $k \ge 1$, let N_k denote the smallest number of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} (i^4 + i) \qquad \text{for some } M \tag{67}$$

which satisfies

$$N_k \ge \theta^k. \tag{68}$$

Since the sequence of numbers of the form (67) grows polynomially, we have

$$\frac{N_{k+1}}{N_k} \to \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{N_k}{\theta^k} \to 1 \qquad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{69}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_k &= \left(x \in [A,B] : \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq \|p\| \sqrt{(2+\theta) N_k \log\log N_k} \right), \quad k \geq 1, \\ V_k &= \left(x \in [A,B] : \max_{N_k < M \leq N_{k+1}} \left| \sum_{n=N_k+1}^{M} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \right. \\ & \qquad \left. \geq 238 c_A \sqrt{(N_{k+1} - N_k) \log\log(N_{k+1} - N_k)} \right), \quad k \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where c_A is the constant from Lemma 6. Then, since by definition N_k is of the form (67), we have by Lemma 12

$$\mathbb{P}(U_k) \leq \Phi\left(\sqrt{(2+\theta)\log\log N_k} \right) + \frac{(\log N_k)^2}{N_k^{1/25}}.$$

Using (69) and the standard estimate

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1+x^2)} e^{-x^2/2} < 1 - \Phi(x) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} x} e^{-x^2/2}, \qquad x > 0, \tag{70}$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(U_k) \ll e^{-(2+\theta)/2 \log\log N_k} \ll \frac{1}{k^{1+\theta/2}}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(U_k) < \infty,$$

and by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma with probability one only finitely many events U_k occur. For the sets V_k we use Corollary 3 with $\gamma = 2\|r\|^{-1/4}$ and obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(V_k) \ll \exp(-2 \log\log(N_{k+1} - N_k)) \ll k^{-2}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(V_k) < \infty,$$

which implies that with probability one only finitely many events V_k occur. Hence, by (69), for almost all $x \in [A,B]$ we have

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right|}{\sqrt{N \log\log N}} \leq \|p\| \sqrt{\theta(2+\theta)} + 238 c_A \sqrt{1 - \theta^{-1}}.$$

Since $\theta > 1$ can be chosen arbitrarily close to 1, this proves Lemma 14.

Proof of Lemma 15: As in the proof of the previous lemma, we choose $\theta > 1$ ("large") and let N_k again denote the sequence of the smallest numbers of the form (67) satisfying (68). Set

$$\begin{aligned} U_k &= \left(x \in [A,B] : \left| \sum_{n=N_k+1}^{N_{k+1}} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq \left(1 - \frac{8}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right) \|p\| \sqrt{2N_{k+1} \log\log N_{k+1}} \right), \quad k \geq 1, \\ V_k &= \left(x \in [A,B] : \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_{k+1}} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq \left(1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right) \|p\| \sqrt{2N_{k+1} \log\log N_{k+1}} \right), \quad k \geq 1, \\ W_k &= \left(x \in [A,B] : \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\theta}} \|p\| \sqrt{2N_{k+1} \log\log N_{k+1}} \right), \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(U_k) \;\; \geq \;\; \mathbb{P}(V_k) - \mathbb{P}(W_k). \tag{71}$$

Note that by (69)

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{2N_k \log\log N_k} \leq \sqrt{2N_{k+1}\log\log N_{k+1}} \leq 2\sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{2N_k \log\log N_k}$$

for sufficiently large k. Consequently by Lemma 12 and (70) we have

$$\mathbb{P}(V_k) \;\; \gg \;\; \frac{\exp\left(- \left(1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right)^2 \log\log \theta^k \right)}{\log\log \theta^k} \gg \frac{1}{k^{1-4/\sqrt{\theta}}}, \tag{72}$$

and for sufficiently large k

$$\mathbb{P}(W_k) \;\; \leq \;\; \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq 2\|p\| \sqrt{2N_k \log\log N_k} \right) \ll \frac{1}{k^4}. \tag{73}$$

By construction the sets $U_k,\ k \geq 1$ are independent. Thus by (71), (72), (73) and the second Borel-Cantelli lemma with probability one infinitely many events U_k occur. Since by (73) and the first Borel-Cantelli lemma with probability one only finitely many events W_k occur, we conclude that with probability one

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_{k+1}} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right| \geq \left(1 - \frac{12}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right) \|p\| \sqrt{2N_{k+1} \log\log N_{k+1}}$$

for infinitely many k, which implies

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n}) \right|}{\sqrt{2N \log\log N}} \geq \|p\| \left(1 - \frac{12}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right)$$

for almost all $x \in [A,B]$. Since $\theta > 1$ can be chosen arbitrarily large, this proves Lemma 15.

9 The law of the iterated logarithm for functions of bounded variation

Lemma 16 *For any function f satisfying* (6) *we have for almost all $x \in [A,B]$*

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left|\sum_{k=1}^N f(\xi x^{s_n})\right|}{\sqrt{2\log\log N}} = \|f\|$$

Choose $d \geq 1$, and split the Fourier series of f into a trigonometric polynomial of degree d (which will be denoted by p) and a remainder function r. Then, since

$$p(x) - r(x) \leq f(x) \leq p(x) + r(x),$$

by Lemma 10, Lemma 14 and Lemma 15 for almost all $x \in [A,B]$

$$\|p\| - 238 c_A d^{-1/8} \leq \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left|\sum_{k=1}^N f(\xi x^{s_n})\right|}{\sqrt{2\log\log N}} \leq \|p\| + 238 c_A d^{-1/8}.$$

Of course $\|p\| \to \|f\|$ as $d \to \infty$. Since d can be chosen arbitrarily, we obtain

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left|\sum_{k=1}^N f(\xi x^{s_n})\right|}{\sqrt{2\log\log N}} = \|f\|$$

for almost all $x \in [A,B]$, which proves the lemma.

10 Proof of Theorem 1

First we note that

$$\sup_{0\leq a<b\leq 1} \left\|\mathbf{I}_{[a,b)}\right\| = \|\mathbf{I}_{[0,1/2)}\| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus by Lemma 16 for any $R \geq 1$

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N^{(\geq 2^{-R})}(\{\xi x^{s_n}\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} \geq \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\left|\sum_{k=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{[0,1/2)}(\xi x^{s_n})\right|}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

for almost all $x \in [A,B]$. Together with Lemma 11 and (41) this proves

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N^*(\{\xi x^n\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} \leq \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N} D_N(\{\xi x^n\})}{\sqrt{\log\log N}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 3\cdot 10^7 c_A R^{-1}$$

for almost all $x \in [A,B]$. Since R can be chosen arbitrarily large, this proves Theorem 1.

11 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 2. This theorem is in large parts a byproduct of the proof of Lemma 12 from Section 7. By the assumptions in Section 2 we consider an interval $[A,B] \subset (1,\infty)$ which is of length 1; however, the proof remains true for intervals of arbitrary (positive) length in exactly the same way.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $N \geq 1$ also be given, and set

$$\hat{N} = \max\{n \leq N : \; n \text{ is of the form (44)}\}.$$

Then

$$N - \hat{N} \ll N^{4/5}. \tag{74}$$

Assume again for simplicity that f is an even function, set $J = \lceil \varepsilon^{-1} \rceil$ and

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} a_j \cos 2\pi j x, \qquad r(x) = \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} a_j \cos 2\pi j x.$$

Using the methods from Section 3 we can prove

$$\int_A^B \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} r(\xi x^{s_n}) \right)^2 dx \ll N J^{-1}. \tag{75}$$

Similarly, using (74), we can prove

$$\int_A^B \left(\sum_{n=\hat{N}+1}^{N} f(\xi x^{s_n}) \right)^2 dx \ll N^{4/5}. \tag{76}$$

For the distribution of the normalized sum

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\hat{N}} p(\xi x^{s_n})}{\|p\| \sqrt{\hat{N}}} \tag{77}$$

we have the approximation result from Section 7. We further have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\xi x^{s_n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\hat{N}} p(\xi x^{s_n}) + \sum_{n=\hat{N}+1}^{N} p(\xi x^{s_n}) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} r(\xi x^{s_n}). \tag{78}$$

Now (75) and (76) tell us that the last two sums on the right-hand side of (78) are "small" with large probability in comparison to the norming factor $\sqrt{N}$. Note further that $\|p\| \to \|f\|$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Thus the fact that the distribution of (77) is close to the normal distribution tells us that also the distribution of

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\xi x^{s_n})}{\|f\| \sqrt{N}}$$

is close to the normal distribution, provided ε is sufficiently small. Arguing as at the end of Section 7, all these results are sufficient to obtain

$$\left| \mathbb{P} \left(x \in [A,B] : \; \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\xi x^{s_n})}{\|f\| \sqrt{N}} < t \right) - \Phi(t) \right| \ll \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large N, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

References

[1] C. Aistleitner. Irregular discrepancy behavior of lacunary series. *Monatsh. Math.*, 160(1):1–29, 2010.

[2] C. Aistleitner. Irregular discrepancy behavior of lacunary series II. *Monatsh. Math.*, 161(3):255–270, 2010.

[3] C. Aistleitner. On the class of limits of lacunary trigonometric series. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 129(1-2):1–23, 2010.

[4] C. Aistleitner. On the law of the iterated logarithm for the discrepancy of lacunary sequences. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(11):5967–5982, 2010.

[5] C. Aistleitner and I. Berkes. On the central limit theorem for $f(n_k x)$. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 146(1-2):267–289, 2010.

[6] C. Aistleitner and I. Berkes. Probability and metric discrepancy theory. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 11(1):183–207, 2011.

[7] S. Akiyama. Mahler's Z-number and 3/2 number systems. *Unif. Distrib. Theory*, 3(2):91–99, 2008.

[8] S. Akiyama, C. Frougny, and J. Sakarovitch. Powers of rationals modulo 1 and rational base number systems. *Israel J. Math.*, 168:53–91, 2008.

[9] R. C. Baker. Dyadic methods in the measure theory of numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 221(2):419–432, 1976.

[10] R. C. Baker. Metric number theory and the large sieve. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 24(1):34–40, 1981.

[11] J. Beck. Super-uniformity of the typical billiard path. In *An irregular mind*, volume 21 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 39–129. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2010.

[12] M. Bennett. Fractional parts of powers of rational numbers. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 114(2):191–201, 1993.

[13] I. Berkes. Approximation of lacunary Walsh-series with Brownian motion. *Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 9:111–122 (1975), 1974.

[14] I. Berkes. On the asymptotic behaviour of $Sf(n_k x)$. Applications. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 34(4):347–365, 1976.

[15] I. Berkes. On the asymptotic behaviour of $Sf(n_k x)$. Main theorems. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 34(4):319–345, 1976.

[16] I. Berkes. On the central limit theorem for lacunary trigonometric series. *Anal. Math.*, 4(3):159–180, 1978.

[17] I. Berkes and W. Philipp. The size of trigonometric and Walsh series and uniform distribution mod 1. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 50(3):454–464, 1994.

[18] E. Borel. Les probabilités denombrables et leurs applications arithmétiques. *Palermo Rend.*, 27:247–271, 1909.

[19] Y. Bugeaud. *Distribution modulo one and Diophantine approximation.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 193. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 316 p. , 2012.

[20] J. W. S. Cassels. Some metrical theorems of Diophantine approximation. IV. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc.*, 53:176–187 = Indagationes Math. 12, 14–25 (1950), 1950.

[21] J. W. S. Cassels. An extension of the law of the iterated logarithm. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 47:55–64, 1951.

[22] K.-L. Chung. An estimate concerning the Kolmogoroff limit distribution. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67:36–50, 1949.

[23] M. Drmota and R. F. Tichy. *Sequences, discrepancies and applications*, volume 1651 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[24] A. Dubickas. On the distance from a rational power to the nearest integer. *J. Number Theory*, 117(1):222–239, 2006.

[25] A. Dubickas. Powers of rational numbers modulo 1 lying in short intervals. *Results Math.*, 57(1-2):23–31, 2010.

[26] P. Erdös and I. S. Gál. On the law of the iterated logarithm. I, II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A.* **58** = *Indag. Math.*, 17:65–76, 77–84, 1955.

[27] P. Erdös and J. F. Koksma. On the uniform distribution modulo 1 of lacunary sequences. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc.*, 52:264–273 = Indagationes Math. 11, 79–88 (1949), 1949.

[28] P. Erdös and J. F. Koksma. On the uniform distribution modulo 1 of sequences $(f(n,\theta))$. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc.*, 52:851–854 = Indagationes Math. 11, 299–302 (1949), 1949.

[29] L. Flatto, J. C. Lagarias, and A. D. Pollington. On the range of fractional parts $\{\xi(p/q)^n\}$. *Acta Arith.*, 70(2):125–147, 1995.

[30] K. Fukuyama. The law of the iterated logarithm for discrepancies of $\{\theta^n x\}$. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 118(1-2):155–170, 2008.

[31] K. Fukuyama. The law of the iterated logarithm for the discrepancies of a permutation of $\{n_k x\}$. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 123(1-2):121–125, 2009.

[32] K. Fukuyama and T. Watada. A metric discrepancy result for lacunary sequences. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(3):749–754, 2012.

[33] I. S. Gál and J. F. Koksma. Sur l'ordre de grandeur des fonctions sommables. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc.*, 53:638–653 = Indagationes Math. 12, 192–207 (1950), 1950.

[34] G. Harman. *Metric number theory*, volume 18 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series.* The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[35] C. C. Heyde and B. M. Brown. On the departure from normality of a certain class of martingales. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 41:2161–2165, 1970.

[36] H. Kaneko. Limit points of fractional parts of geometric sequences. *Unif. Distrib. Theory*, 4(2):1–37, 2009.

[37] H. Kaneko. On normal numbers and powers of algebraic numbers. *Integers*, 10:A5, 31–64, 2010.

[38] H. Kesten. The discrepancy of random sequences $\{kx\}$. *Acta Arith.*, 10:183–213, 1964/1965.

[39] D. E. Knuth. *The art of computer programming. Vol. 2: Seminumerical algorithms.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont, 1969.

[40] J. F. Koksma. Ein mengentheoretischer Satz über die Gleichverteilung modulo Eins. *Compositio Math.*, 2:250–258, 1935.

[41] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter. *Uniform distribution of sequences.* Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1974.

[42] W. J. LeVeque. The distribution modulo 1 of trigonometric sequences. *Duke Math. J.*, 20:367–374, 1953.

[43] K. Mahler. An unsolved problem on the powers of 3/2. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 8:313–321, 1968.

[44] H. Niederreiter and R. F. Tichy. Solution of a problem of Knuth on complete uniform distribution of sequences. *Mathematika*, 32(1):26–32, 1985.

[45] H. Niederreiter and R. F. Tichy. Metric theorems on uniform distribution and approximation theory. *Astérisque*, (147-148):319–323, 346, 1987. Journées arithmétiques de Besançon (Besançon, 1985).

[46] W.-G. Nowak. Zur Gleichverteilung mod 1 der Potenzen von Quaternionen mit Norm größer als 1. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 34(3):243–248, 1980.

[47] W.-G. Nowak and R. F. Tichy. Über die Verteilung mod 1 der Potenzen reeller (2×2)-Matrizen. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, 43(2):219–230, 1981.

[48] W.-G. Nowak and R. F. Tichy. Zur Verteilung mod 1 der Potenzen komplexer Zahlen und gewisser Verallgemeinerungen im $\mathbf{R}^s$. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 38(3):236–242, 1982.

[49] W. Philipp. Limit theorems for lacunary series and uniform distribution mod 1. *Acta Arith.*, 26(3):241–251, 1974/75.

[50] W. Philipp and W. Stout. Almost sure invariance principles for partial sums of weakly dependent random variables. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 2*, (issue 2, 161):iv+140, 1975.

[51] J. Schoissengeier. A metrical result on the discrepancy of $(n\alpha)$. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 40(3):393–425, 1998.

[52] G. R. Shorack and J. A. Wellner. *Empirical processes with applications to statistics.* Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986.

[53] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[54] V. Strassen. Almost sure behavior of sums of independent random variables and martingales. In *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66)*, pages Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 1, pp. 315–343. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967.

[55] O. Strauch. On distribution functions of $\xi(3/2)^n$ mod 1. *Acta Arith.*, 81(1):25–35, 1997.

[56] S. Takahashi. An asymptotic property of a gap sequence. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 38:101–104, 1962.

[57] R. F. Tichy. Ein Analogon zum Satz von Koksma in Quaternionen. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 32(4):346–348, 1979.

[58] R. F. Tichy. Ein metrischer Satz über vollständig gleichverteilte Folgen. *Acta Arith.*, 48(2):197–207, 1987.

[59] H. Weyl. Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins. *Math. Ann.*, 77(3):313–352, 1916.

[60] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. Vol. I, II.* Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. Reprint of the 1979 edition.